



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/796,176

03/09/2004

Michael Charles Shelton

71626 US02

3518

69102

7590

05/30/2008

POLLY C. OWEN

P.O. BOX 511

KINGSPORT, TN 37662-5075

EXAMINER

HAIDER, SAIRA BANO

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1796

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/30/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/796,176	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHELTON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SAIRA HAIDER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAIRA HAIDER. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ameron Kelly. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 32 and 81.

Identification of prior art discussed: Buchanan.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ways of overcoming the prior art rejections were discussed, specifically; applicant's representative presented proposed amendments limiting the inherent viscosity from the currently recited "about 0.05 to about 0.15 dL/g" to "0.05 to 0.12 dL/g". The examiner noted that the proposed amendment appears to overcome the anticipation rejection. The examiner will thoroughly consider amendments and arguments presented.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Randy Gulakowski/  
SPE, Art Unit 1796

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required